

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,971	11/03/2003		Jamie Crawford	5434-8	5019
27799	7590	01/25/2006		EXAM	INER
COHEN, PC	NTANI	, LIEBERMAN &	HAN, MARK K		
551 FIFTH A	VENUE				
SUITE 1210				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK.	NY 10	176		3767	

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		SP					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/699,971	CRAWFORD ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Mark K. Han	3767					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 No	ovember 2005.						
	·_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	- · · · · ·						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer, Note the attached Office	Action of form P1O-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	d in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	a.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date see continuation.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: \_\_

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date: 26 April 2004; 14 June 2004; 17 June 2004; 04 April 2005; 29 August 2005

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Species II in the reply filed on 07 November 2005 is acknowledged. The Applicant's arguments have been fully considered and are persuasive. The election of species requirement of claims 1-43 has been withdrawn.

## Information Disclosure Statement

2. The Larsen reference in the IDS dated 04 April 2005 has not been considered because the document number has been incorrectly provided.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-23 and 25-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,429,612 to Berthier (cited by applicants).

Berthier discloses a barrel 7, cannula 6, plunger 5, shield 8, urging member 20, pin 26 and one-way step 27. See Figures 1-3.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,971 Page 3

Art Unit: 3767

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berthier.

Berthier discloses a shield syringe arrangement with a single track arrangement. Berthier does not disclose expressly two track arrangements. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include a second track arrangement because Applicant has not disclosed that a second track arrangement provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with the single track arrangement of Berthier because both would provide a guide for the shield to move into a locked, shielded position. Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Berthier to obtain the invention as specified in claim 24.

# Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark K. Han whose telephone number is 571-272-4958. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 9 am to 5:30 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,971

Art Unit: 3767

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on 571-272-4965. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mah.

Mark K. Han Patent Examiner Page 4

Art Unit 3767

mkh

January 23, 2006 Ruin C. Sermon